

SECRET

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Assistant Director for  
Scientific Intelligence

23 November 1951

FROM : Chief, Projects Branch, SI

SUBJECT : Strategic Intelligence School

1. On 16 November I conferred with Col. Bogue, Chief of SIS, regarding O/SI participation in the presentation of scientific intelligence. Capt. Herrera was present. This conference was at the request of Mr. [REDACTED]

25X1A9a

2. The conference consisted mainly of an exposition by Col. Bogue on the Strategic Intelligence School and its objectives, with particular reference to economic and scientific intelligence. I purposely listened and made no comment, suggestion, or commitment at that time. At Col. Bogue's request, however, I promised to look over the outline of previous courses, -- what had been proposed and what had actually been given at the lecture. Col. Bogue requested me to give him my comments and suggestions regarding O/SI participation after having reviewed these outlines. This I said that I would do and, if O/SI is to participate, I said that I would coordinate our presentation with the G-2, Technical Branch, and would also attend the G-2 Scientific and Technical presentation.

3. My personal feelings with regard to the SIS and CIA's relation to it are as follows:

a. At the present time SIS is presented to Army and Air Force personnel. Navy does not participate. In other words, it is a continuation of the old War Department (NIS) Strategic Intelligence course. On this basis, it is on a level below the JCS level.

b. From Col. Bogue's exposition it appeared to me that SIS is attempting to cover all fields of intelligence and the over-all scope approaches the National Intelligence level. This coverage may be deliberate, or it may be inadvertant.

c. If such a course does cover the over-all field of National Intelligence, it should be conducted on a much higher level.

d. At some time a policy decision should be made regarding an over-all course covering National Intelligence. The questions to be resolved are:

- (1) Who should give such a course
- (2) Who should participate in it
- (3) How far should it go into intelligence of purely military character, or should such a course be confined purely to National Intelligence
- (4) Should there be a separate course given covering purely military intelligence
- (5) How should all this be coordinated.

Due to the shortness of time, these decisions probably can not be made before the present course is given. In view of this, it would seem a retrograde step to pull out of the SIS at this time. My recommendation is to proceed as outlined in <sup>paragraph 2</sup> a, b, c, and d, above, coordinate the O/SI presentation thoroughly with the present course, and come to the over-all determination after this present course is finished.

OSI: [REDACTED] /mm

[REDACTED]  
25X1A9a  
25X1A9a

cc- Chrono  
Subject  
Director of Training